

Results of the **second** Delegate's Communication survey

Thank you to the 116 members who responded to the survey between May 5-30. Here is what we learned:

In response to Question 1, "Did you attend or view/listen to the online pre-Conference review sessions held March 9-14 that were posted on the area website?"

- I shared the information with other A.A. members: 53 members
- I took the information to my group to get members' thoughts: 53 members
- I did not attend the sessions or look at/listen to the information on the website: 27 members
- I emailed the delegate my suggestions for improvements: 9 members
- I will email the delegate my suggestions: 2 members

What this means: Of the 116 members who responded, **36.8% said they shared the information with other A.A. members and the same percent said they took the information to their group to get members' thoughts.** A small but significant percent – 18.8 – said they did not attend any of the online sessions or listen to the audio recordings. A combined 7.6% said they either had emailed the delegate with suggestions for improvement to online pre-Conference review or planned to do so.

In response to Question 2, "What do you think worked well at hybrid agenda review during the April assembly?"

- Having GSRs share their group conscience ahead of individuals bringing their thoughts: 29 members
- Having the chance to email the delegate my suggestions for improvements: 23 members
- Hearing the group conscience of Area 15 committees as brought to the mic by area committee chairs: 23 members
- Keeping the focus on the 20 items members said they wanted to hear about: 29 members
- All of the above: 59 members
- Not sure: 21 members

What this means: Of the 116 members who responded, **there was substantial agreement that each of the methods employed at pre-Conference agenda review were effective,** with slight preference given to GSRs sharing their group conscience ahead of individuals bringing their thoughts to the mic and keeping the focus on the 20 items members said they wanted to hear about. The largest percent of responses – 32% -- agreed that all the methods worked well.

In response to Question 3, "Do you think the Google form was a useful tool to ensure the delegate was prepared to carry the area's voice to the Conference?"

- Yes, I feel confident the delegate was fully prepared: 94 members
- No, I feel more could have been done and I will email my suggestions: 1 member
- Not sure: 21 members

What this means: Of the 116 members who responded, **an overwhelming majority – 81% – felt the delegate was well-prepared to carry the area's voice to the Conference** but 18 % weren't sure. Only .9%, the equivalent of one member, felt the delegate was not well-prepared.